

The Information You Need To Know

Below is a general summary of information concerning the Board of Directors and how the consequences of their actions are financially effecting you right now, and may effect you substantially more in the future.

The Board of Directors are moving fast to try to gain ABSOLUTE POWER over all owners and if you disagree with what they are doing they will cancel your membership, and your voting rights, and still make you pay all their levies and legal fees. This has been clearly demonstrated in the ongoing legal case of Sovereign Island property owner Ms Wei Zhang and Mr Yiu Andy Kwok in Supreme Court of Queensland Proceedings Number 4645 of 2025.

If you are not familiar with this case I urge you to follow it because as owners your levies will rapidly increase as the Directors are using up hundreds of thousands of Dollars to attempt to stop the owners from gaining an independent financial audit upon them. The case is an open public case, and you are allowed to sit inside the open Court to listen to it, and HEAR the truth.

The Proposed Constitution and it's dangers if it becomes approved

There are at least 11 negative conditions and zero positive conditions being forced upon owners under this Proposed Constitution. This opens the question of why would anyone accept the Directors advice that they have developed the Proposed Constitution to, and I quote, "*remove ambiguities and modernise the governance framework of the Company for the improvement of the owners*" when it's clearly eroding all of the rights that owners currently have. Even the current Constitution (updated in 2023) eroded owners rights from the previous and original Constitution.

The evidence of an "Absolute Power" grab inside the Proposed Constitution is overwhelming, and leaves open the ability to secure their debts against your property. As an example, If any owner refuses to pay any future escalating levies fees, or any accumulated Directors legal fees, the Directors could instigate a rule change without any owners approval to impose such debt collection through a legal charge (Caveat) placed upon the owners property.

This debt would rapidly increase in costs with interest and penalties that they are sure to attach, and this easily added rule is destined to be silently brought in behind closed doors. Levies arrears are sometimes due to disputes for genuine reasons, however, there are no dispute resolutions available and the Directors have awarded themselves the power to apply a charge (caveat) upon your property.

Furthermore, if you choose to challenge the Directors with legal litigation, they will already have "Absolute Power" in place and will use their access to owners funds, or possible owners credit (via secured Bank loans guaranteed by all owners) as their source of instant funding to litigate directly against any owner who disagrees with them. This is a very dangerous position for all owners to be in, and therefore the Proposed Constitution must be voted down without hesitation.

No owner would knowingly and willingly agree to allow a Board of “power grabbing” Directors an opportunity to manipulate them into a legally binding Constitution Agreement that allowed the Directors a position to secure debt against their home, so why would the Directors even try to force such measures upon owners unless it has a substantial personal benefit to themselves. This is what the Proposed Constitution will silently bind upon all owners.

The Proposed Constitution is a permanent Agreement to pay a member’s share of all charges and expenses the Directors incur on behalf of the members. This commits the owner for as long as they own the property to pay their share of all unknown or untraceable expenses under this “Absolute Power” Proposed Constitution Agreement.

These unnecessary changes being forced through are giving many owners concerns that the Proposed Constitution is written in a format that could deter potential buyers from purchasing their properties. This is due to the possible unlimited and ever expanding expenses that could be added annually as time goes on.

Immediately following the finalising of the Proposed Constitution the Agreement enables the Directors to bypass owners approval on everything, and this gives total control to enforce unwanted services and possible “Body Corporate style” changes and charges that align with developments such as Hope Island where fees are approximately \$24,000 per year.

This is without doubt a deliberate attempt by the Board of Directors to erode all owners rights. Many owners say that for many years they feel the that the longest serving Directors have not acted in the best interests of the owners, and rumours are swirling concerning their motives and actions.

It is imperative that the owners push for answers from the Board of Directors to inform them which Directors are responsible for the drafting and internal approval of the Proposed Constitution Agreement including its development costs. This will identify which Directors are responsible for developing this “Absolute Power” grab.

Once identified, the Directors responsible for the Proposed Constitution should immediately resign from office. This will be due to their negligence for failing to act in the best interests of the owners, their deception, their dishonesty in developing the Proposed Constitution, and their attempts to force it upon unsuspecting owners, through misleading and deceptive practices that Nautilus Law has clearly exposed.

If such Directors refuse to resign then owners must vote to remove them from office immediately through the coming EGM or by other means, because never again should they ever be trusted to act in the best interests of the owners.

The Directors actions have been totally untrustworthy and unforgivable, and there have been positive talks on owners funding a separate Class Action for discovery of all records and various issues against all sitting Directors.

Additionally, and above everything else, a full and in-depth independent investigation will be needed to satisfy the owners. This will be essential and non-negotiable to restore any owner confidence, and all Directors will need to comply with it. Controlling large amounts of owners funds while refusing transparency of their records must never again be allowed to happen.

Several owner groups are now looking into options to fully investigate the Board of Directors and their unnecessary use of owners funds to legally challenge Ms Wei Zhang and Mr Yiu Andy Kwok in Supreme Court of Queensland. A Director of the Board has openly indicated to owners that the legal fees that will be charged to the owners is somewhere in the region of \$600,000 but could escalate much higher.

Information regarding the letter from The Directors of the Sovereign Islands and titled New Updates written by Ann Robilotta-Glenister (Chairperson)

In the AGM update dated 9th January, 2026 Ann Robilotta-Glenister (Chairperson) writes *“The Board also notes that certain recent communications, including the manner in which they were distributed, are matters that may be brought to the attention of the Court as part of the existing proceedings. These issues are not open for discussion or commentary outside the Court, and the Board is acting at all times on legal advice in relation to them. The Board remains committed to acting transparently, responsibly, and in the best interests of the Company as a whole.”*

This is typical of how the Directors operate with an attitude of overlordship.

The truth is that all owners are now being forced into paying for the Board of Directors disastrous decision to not make the financial records “transparent” to the owner who is a qualified accountant, or to an independent financial auditor.

Owners overwhelmingly believe this action to be “highly suspicious”, and the fact that the Directors cancelled the owners membership as a tactical move and ridiculed Mr Kwok in a letter to other owners clearly shows their intimidation tactics.

This is clearly exemplified again in the above quote when Ann Robilotta-Glenister writes *“ The Board also notes that certain recent communications, including the manner in which they were distributed, are matters that may be brought to the attention of the Court”* and *“These issues are not open for discussion or commentary outside the Court”*.

Again, Ann Robilotta-Glenister seems to be in favour of far less transparency in this statement than she claims she is giving as a Director, and again she has little care for the owners, their money or their concerns around these matters.

Owners actually do have a stake and an interest in these legal proceedings because all owners have their money at risk as they are being forced to fund unlimited costs for what is clearly a ‘Directors to owner’ dispute, and not an ‘owners to owner’ dispute, in fact, the truth is that not one owner who has no connection to the Directors has voiced their support for these legal proceedings or the costs and see no reason why the Directors should conceal their financial accounts.

Ann Robilotta-Glenister uses “selective transparency” of the legal proceedings and all its associated costs while writing “*The Board remains committed to acting transparently, responsibly, and in the best interests of the Company*” – and I would like to remind her that - We see you - Ann Robilotta-Glenister, we see you!

Furthermore, owners do not have to “shut up” and do have the right to question and talk freely about it, and therefore owners would welcome Ann Robilotta-Glenister to send the Supreme Court all copies of concerned owner distributed communications. Maybe then the Judge would agree with the owners who comment upon the stupidity of these costly legal proceedings which are looking to be approximately \$1200 and escalating at a fast pace for every owner.

If Ann Robilotta-Glenister seriously believes that owners will refrain from talking about the legal fees the Directors are secretly running up without any owner approval, just so the Directors can hide the Company’s financial records from an owner or an independent financial auditor, or just because she advises it, by saying “*These issues are not open for discussion or commentary outside the Court*” then she will be hugely disappointed when reality wakes her up. Where is her transparency or responsibility for acting in the owners best interests here?

Owners have commented that a Director has been approaching owners for Proxy Votes for the coming AGM claiming they need owners votes because the Chinese are trying to take over the Islands, and Ann Robilotta-Glenister should take note that owners are capturing and logging a lot of “misinformation” as a lawyer would put it, and the witnesses are growing.

There are at least 1500 people on Sovereign Islands and most have an interest to talk on this “hot” topic as it’s quickly developing into a full scale scandal that may even become News Media at some point soon, especially if the owner wins his case against the Board of Directors which could open up further legal challenges against the Directors. Additionally, any talking outside of the Court proceedings will never be relevant to the outcome of these legal proceedings and if such a reason existed then I would expect for Ann Robilotta-Glenister to be the first person to be fully “transparent” on that reason, so her attempted censorship is little more than fluff unless the Court orders it.

Ann Robilotta-Glenister may be able to shut down owners concerns at meetings but she has absolutely no authority to advise people what they should or shouldn’t say or do in their private or public lives.

This authoritarian Director overlord on the owners whose properties rest upon Council Streets, pay Council Rates, and are only connected via a Management Policy for a few gardens to be maintained and a guy to drive around the Islands with a light on his car roof, has to stop.

Owners do not want any Directors overlord on them, and the only reason the Directors have postponed the Proposed Constitution at the AGM is to avoid the backlash brewing from the owners, however, Ann Robilotta-Glenister needs to understand the level of distrust that owners feel against all Directors since the truth

was uncovered by Nautilus Law, and the Directors have shown their true colours since.

It is clear that many owners are unwilling to forgive and forget and if any Directors feel that time will bury these issues then they are out of touch with the owners true feelings because owners support against then gathers daily.

There is talk about inappropriately exposing owners to excessive legal costs, class actions, negligence, deception, fraudulent practices, and the breaking of fiduciary duties etc. etc., amongst everyone's lips, and everyone concerned is busy stacking their questions ready, with several individual groups already forming, and these will undoubtedly amalgamate soon into one, and no one is going to back down or stay silent about any of these issues.